

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

EUGENE ABNER,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
WARDEN BRITT,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	Civil Action No. 3:22-CV-920-C-BK

**ORDER**

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising the Court that this civil action should be dismissed without prejudice for improper venue.


The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).

After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Petitioner's objections should be **OVERRULED**. The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby

**ADOPTED** as the findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein, the Court **ORDERS** that this civil action be **DISMISSED** without prejudice for improper venue.

SO ORDERED.

Dated May 31, 2022.



---

SAM R. CUMMINGS  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE